Spectrum Brands Inc.

CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2026

09/16/2026, 08:52 pm

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:
☑ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:
☑ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:
☑ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc. (NYSE: SPB; "Spectrum Brands"; "SBH" or the "Company") is a leading global branded consumer products and home essentials company focused on driving innovation and providing exceptional customer service. Spectrum Brands is a leading supplier of shaving and grooming products, personal care products, small household appliances, specialty pet supplies, household cleaning products, lawn, garden and home pest control products, and personal insect repellents. Helping to meet the needs of consumers worldwide, our Company offers a broad portfolio of market-leading, well-known and widely trusted brands. Based in Middleton, Wisconsin, Spectrum Brands generated net sales from continuing operations of approximately $2.809 billion in fiscal year 2025.
[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

	End date of reporting year	Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period	Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years
	09/30/2025	*Select from:* ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

2809000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:
☑ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

84790A105

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

SPB

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☑ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☑ China

☑ Italy

☑ Japan

☑ Spain

☑ Canada

☑ Austria

☑ Belgium

☑ France

☑ Mexico

☑ Panama

☑ Poland

☑ Sweden

☑ Germany

☑ Hungary

☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Finland
☑ Honduras
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ New Zealand
☑ Puerto Rico
☑ United Kingdom
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ United States of America
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Guatemala
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ El Salvador
☑ Netherlands

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:
☑ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply
☑ Upstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:
☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:
☑ Tier 3 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

Spectrum Brands has implemented a robust supply chain mapping process encompassing its Global Pet Care, Home Personal Care, and Home & Garden divisions. This collaborative initiative, involving Procurement and Sustainability teams, systematically gathers comprehensive data on tier 1 suppliers. Key information collected includes supplier contact details, associated SKUs, procurement expenditure, and product specifications. To ensure data accuracy, a process of email, survey, and follow-up verification is employed. While comprehensive mapping of tier 1 suppliers has been achieved, the company maintains a focus on expanding coverage for tiers 2 and 3. Spectrum Brands incorporates metrics related to its environmental, social, and governance (ESG) priorities into the mapping process. A data privacy and security framework safeguards supplier and personally identifiable information. Through annual data analysis, Spectrum Brands regularly updates its mapping methodology, enabling a deeper understanding of the supply chain and identifying opportunities for improvement.
[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

3

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

The time horizon is primarily associated with our annual budgeting process and includes: (i) near-term expectations that are derived from current actions that can influence near term results; or (ii) strategic initiatives that can be actioned within a short-term time frame with near-term results.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

3

(2.1.3) To (years)

5

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

It can be a challenge to predict strategic and/or financial planning in the medium-term. An indicator for medium-term planning includes our consideration of the medium-term growth rate in perpetuity consistent with inflationary rates.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

Long-term planning is influenced by a consideration of a terminal value and is not projected to any substantial degree. Like medium-term planning, consideration of the long-term growth rate in perpetuity consistent with inflationary rates is often used for long-term planning.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Select from:* ☑ Both risks and opportunities	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Dependencies
☑ Impacts
☑ Risks
☑ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

☑ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☑ Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☑ More than once a year

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

☑ Medium-term

☑ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☑ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☑ Site-specific

☑ Local

☑ National

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Other

☑ Scenario analysis

☑ Desk-based research

☑ External consultants

☑ Materiality assessment

☑ Internal company methods

☑ Jurisdictional/landscape assessment

☑ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

☑ Drought

☑ Tornado

☑ Avalanche

☑ Heat wave

☑ Landslide

☑ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

☑ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)

☑ Wildfires

☑ Subsidence

☑ Cold wave/frost

☑ Glacial lake outburst

☑ Cyclone, hurricane, typhoon

☑ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)

Chronic physical

☑ Heat stress
☑ Soil erosion
☑ Solifluction
☑ Water stress
☑ Sea level rise
☑ Temperature variability
☑ Change in land/freshwater/ocean use
☑ Precipitation or hydrological variability
☑ Increased severity of extreme weather events
☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
☑ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
☑ Increased levels of macro or microplastic leakage to air, soil, freshwater and/or marine bodies
☑ Coastal erosion
☑ Soil degradation
☑ Permafrost thawing
☑ Ocean acidification
☑ Changing wind patterns

Policy

☑ Carbon pricing mechanisms
☑ Changes to national legislation
☑ Poor coordination between regulatory bodies
☑ Poor enforcement of environmental regulation
☑ Increased difficulty in obtaining operations permits
☑ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
☑ Lack of mature certification and sustainability standards

Market

☑ Changing customer behavior
☑ Lack of availability and/or increased cost of certified sustainable material
☑ Uncertainty in market signals

Reputation

☑ Impact on human health
☑ Increased concern and/or negative feedback from partners and stakeholders

☑ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g., GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress, excessive bycatch)

☑ Stigmatization of sector

Technology

☑ Dependency on water-intensive energy sources

☑ Lack of access to data or monitoring systems

☑ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

☑ Transition to water intensive, low carbon energy sources

☑ Unsuccessful investment in new technologies

Liability

☑ Exposure to sanctions and litigation

☑ Non-compliance with regulations and legislation

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

☑ Employees

☑ Suppliers

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☑ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

At the corporate level, Spectrum Brands has an annual risk management process that identifies and prioritizes risks and opportunities to the Company that could have a strategic impact. While dependencies are not explicitly assessed, they underpin many of the identified impacts and risks and help inform opportunities. Identified risks that have the potential to be material are disclosed in Spectrum Brands' public reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and include statements associated with climate change risk. As part of those public disclosures, and related to climate change related risks, the company's employees identify the risks associated with energy and water resource scarcity and extreme weather occurrences that have potential to disrupt operational and/or supply chain performance

and impact product sales. Additionally, a climate-specific risk analysis informs potential risks and their associated impacts across multiple facets of the Company's activities, including operational continuity, supply chain resilience, and regulatory compliance. Our facilities face various operational risks associated with chemical handling and manufacturing. These include leaks, explosions, fires, natural disasters, equipment failures, supply chain disruptions, and environmental hazards. These risks could damage property, disrupt production, and cause environmental contamination. Divisional leadership, with support and guidance from the Corporate Environmental, Health & Safety and Legal teams, is responsible for managing these risks, which may be more pronounced in areas prone to extreme weather. Downstream risks, such as emerging regulations and reputational concerns, can have an impact on our stakeholders and – particularly, our customers. Our product teams evaluate downstream impacts and strive to develop more innovative products, eliminate superfluous chemicals, and improve cradle-to-grave management of products and packaging. Our approach focuses on both ensuring regulatory compliance and conformity with emerging customer and consumer requirements. "Partial Coverage" was selected due to impacts, risks, opportunities, and dependencies not being fully assessed for each operational facility globally. Through our annual risk management process, we strive to assess and monitor material impacts, risks, opportunities, and dependencies across our organization. However, comprehensive assessment activities related to the environment are not yet consistently conducted at every facility, and therefore the overall coverage is considered partial. We continue to enhance and expand our processes to improve the completeness and consistency of assessments across our global footprint.
[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:
☑ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

As part of our work toward the European Union's Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive and California SB 261: Climate-Related Financial Risk Act reporting, we conducted an analysis to identify such interconnections. The interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities were assessed. This includes evaluating how the Company's reliance on natural resources (e.g., water, raw materials, energy) may be affected by environmental changes, and how those dependencies translate into operational, financial, and reputational risks. Additionally, the analysis considers how environmental impacts can create both risks (e.g., regulatory penalties) and opportunities (e.g., product innovation). However, no dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities related to our organization have been identified to be substantial, in terms of how we calculate risks via our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process. If we are made aware of an event or a material, tangible risk, further analysis will be carried out.
[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ EBITDA

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ % decrease

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☑ 11-20

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

For the purposes of Spectrum Brands global Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process, we define risks that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as: (i) having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event; or (ii) a combination of factors impacting the achievement of our corporate strategy.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☑ Qualitative

☑ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☑ EBITDA

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☑ % increase

(2.4.4) % change to indicator

Select from:

☑ 11-20

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☑ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

For the purposes of Spectrum Brands evaluation of opportunities, we define opportunities that have a 'substantive financial or strategic impact' at the corporate level as: (i) having an impact of greater than 15% of EBITDA as an isolated event; or (ii) a combination of factors impacting the achievement of our corporate strategy.
[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental risks identified
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Market

☑ Changing customer behavior

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Downstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Finland
☑ Honduras
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ New Zealand
☑ United Kingdom
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ United States of America
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Panama
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Guatemala
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ El Salvador
☑ Netherlands

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

As consumers are expected to support brands with strong environmental credentials, we expect to further enhance our already strong commitment to the environment and sustainability; particularly, in light of new or increased regulations and customer/consumer demands that could cause us to make changes to the products we offer and operations we manage. Further, enhancing our commitment to environmental sustainability is expected to help mitigate potential reputational risks associated with stakeholder expectations and evolving sustainability standards.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Low

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We are unable to calculate the anticipated effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization at this time. However, we would expect the effect to be nominal compared to other business risks and not exceed the threshold detailed in our global ERM process.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☑ Increased use of sustainably sourced materials

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

Through our New Product Development (NPD) process, we strive to prioritize product designs that improve material, energy, and packaging efficiency throughout the product lifecycle. By integrating sustainability considerations into product design and engineering decisions, we aim to reduce the carbon footprint of our products while maintaining performance, quality, and consumer value.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☑ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☑ Heat wave

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☑ China
☑ Italy
☑ Japan
☑ Spain
☑ Canada
☑ Austria
☑ Belgium
☑ Czechia
☑ Denmark
☑ Finland
☑ Honduras
☑ Portugal
☑ Slovenia
☑ Argentina
☑ Australia
☑ New Zealand
☑ United Kingdom
☑ Dominican Republic
☑ United States of America
☑ France
☑ Mexico
☑ Panama
☑ Poland
☑ Sweden
☑ Germany
☑ Hungary
☑ Ireland
☑ Bulgaria
☑ Colombia
☑ Guatemala
☑ Singapore
☑ Costa Rica
☑ El Salvador
☑ Netherlands

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Impacts on Spectrum Brands' workforce and business output due to inability to work in dangerous conditions. Impact on sites causing damage to cooling infrastructure.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☑ Closure of operations

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In accordance with the guidelines set forth by the Task Force of Climate-Related Financial Disclosures ("TCFD"), we have estimated the anticipated worst-case effect of the risk on financial position and cash flows of the organization, and we estimate the effect of increased heat waves to present a worst-case scenario risk of approximately $90.40 million to the business based on internal calculation factors, including, by way of example, increased operating costs and capital expenditures associated with heat wave adaptation. Please note that this estimated financial effect is for all Spectrum Brands locations, it considers the worst-case scenario, and it is extremely unlikely (or statistically improbable) that a heat wave would impact all Spectrum Brands locations simultaneously or result in this worst-case scenario.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.1.1.19) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – minimum (currency)

6107357

(3.1.1.20) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

82180000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

A heat wave may cause closure of facilities, as well as direct on-site damage. Impacts to both workforce and site infrastructure directly correlate to impact on the sum of total expense.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☑ Improve maintenance of infrastructure

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

We are unable to provide a cost of response to risk at this point in time, as many factors remain variable and interdependent. As these factors continue to develop, we will refine our analysis to inform the calculation of potential financial impacts.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We implement environmental, health, and safety measures to ensure the safety of our employees. These measures include access to hydration and cooling areas, work-rest protocols, and monitoring workplace conditions during periods of extreme heat. We continually assess facility specific risks and adapt operation practices as needed to help safeguard employee health, maintain safe working conditions, and support business continuity.
[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☑ Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

20000000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

20000000

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

We have estimated financial vulnerability to physical risks at approximately 0.5% of total revenue in a given year. The figure provided is an estimation and should not be considered an exact amount.
[Add row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental opportunities identified
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☑ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resource efficiency

☑ Increased efficiency of production and/or distribution processes

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☑ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☑ Germany

☑ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Spectrum Brands has identified an opportunity to realize reduced operating costs via the implementation of resource efficiency (energy) projects in our owned facilities. Such projects have the added benefit of reducing our greenhouse gas emissions and improving our operational resiliency.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☑ Reduced indirect (operating) costs

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☑ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☑ Virtually certain (99–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☑ Medium-low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

We anticipate the implemented resource efficiency projects will result in a reduction of annual operational costs. While these savings will represent a moderate impact on the overall operational expenses of Spectrum Brands, they are quantifiable and noteworthy.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☑ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

180000

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

225000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

The potential financial impact figures represent anticipated annual reductions in operating costs realized via annual resource efficiency projects. The cost range represents the lower and upper bounds of annual cost savings Spectrum Brands has achieved via resource efficiency projects implemented over the past two reporting years. Where project information was unavailable, costs were estimated using past projects that had similarities in estimated CO_2 savings, monetary investment, and anticipated payback period.

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

800000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The cost to realize the opportunity represents the capital investment required in resource efficiency projects for a given year. The cost is calculated as the average capital investment Spectrum Brands has committed to implement resource efficiency projects over the past two reporting years. Where project information was unavailable, costs were estimated using past projects that had similarities in estimated CO_2 savings, monetary investment, and anticipated payback period. Financial figures are calculated based on several factors, including the expected lifetime of the project and the monetary investment required.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

At the divisional level, our teams collaborated at least biennially with Senior Leadership regarding incoming energy and water efficiency opportunities and improvements and address requests to climate change related inquiries and ongoing projects.
[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:
☑ Revenue

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

20000000

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

We have estimated financial opportunities at approximately 0.5% of total revenue in a given year. The figure provided is an estimation and should not be considered an exact amount.
[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☑ Executive directors or equivalent

☑ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☑ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

We adhere to the basic principles that the Board has the appropriate balance of skills, experience and diversity of perspectives necessary to enhance the effectiveness of the Board and to maintain the highest standards of corporate governance. This commitment is reflected in our public Corporate Governance Guidelines "Board Membership Criteria" ["Nominees for director shall be selected on the basis of, among other things, experience, knowledge, skills, expertise, integrity, diversity, ability to make independent analytical inquiries, understanding of the Company's business environment and willingness to devote adequate time and effort to Board responsibilities."]. Consistent with these principles and stated in our publicly disclosed "Charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors of Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc.," the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers diversity, alongside *experience, skills, expertise, integrity and other relevant attributes, when evaluating director candidates. The Committee also reviews the composition of the Board annually and may recommend measures to help ensure the Board maintains an appropriate balance of knowledge, experience, skills, expertise and diversity.*

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

Corporate Governance Guidelines - SPB1087955.pdf,human-rights-policy.pdf,Charter of SPB NCG Committee_Final.pdf
[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Primary reason for no board-level oversight of this environmental issue	Explain why your organization does not have board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes		
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Not an immediate strategic priority	*Biodiversity is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands.*

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply
☑ Board-level committee
☑ General Counsel

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☑ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :(i) ESG Governance Policy; (ii) Corporate Governance Guidelines

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☑ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☑ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets

☑ Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis

☑ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets

☑ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☑ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures

☑ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes

☑ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments

☑ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Other, please specify :General Counsel provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. This includes topics such as sustainability strategy, climate-related targets, energy use and GHG inventory reports

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Nominating & Corporate Governance Committee (NCGC) has oversight of environmental, social, and governance issues (including potential climate-related issues) and serves as the liaison between the Board of Directors and Spectrum Brands' General Counsel on ESG issues and climate-related risks and opportunities. Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary ("General Counsel") provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. Topics covered in these updates may include our sustainability strategy, setting and managing our climate-related targets, measuring and managing the Company's energy use, and reporting out on our greenhouse gas inventory. In addition, our General Counsel may present to the Board the status of the Company's progress on Environmental, Health & Safety (EH&S) metrics and sustainability performance in our factories and/or products, such as packaging innovations and improvements, transportation efficiencies, factory energy and carbon emission reductions, waste and water recycling efforts and reductions.
[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply
☑ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
☑ Engaging regularly with external stakeholders and experts on environmental issues
☑ Other, please specify :When discussing climate related issues with the Board, the Company provides relevant information to ensure that the Board members are able to competently make knowing and informed decisions and recommendations on these issues.
[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue	Primary reason for no management-level responsibility for environmental issues	Explain why your organization does not have management-level responsibility for environmental issues
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ Yes		
Biodiversity	*Select from:* ☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	*Select from:* ☑ Not an immediate strategic priority	*Biodiversity is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands.*

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☑ General Counsel

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☑ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☑ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☑ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☑ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☑ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

☑ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

☑ Managing acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures related to environmental issues

☑ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

☑ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

☑ Managing major capital and/or operational expenditures relating to environmental issues

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

☑ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary ("General Counsel") is responsible for the Spectrum Brands global sustainability program, including setting, monitoring, and managing sustainability goals. The General Counsel reports directly to the Executive Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. Primary responsibility for climate-related issues is assigned to the General Counsel because this position oversees dedicated sustainability personnel that implement sustainability processes and activities. Also, the General Counsel is the Board Secretary and is charged with assembling information, including sustainability and ESG matters, from the business to the Board for review. As a member of the Senior Executive team our General Counsel acts as the liaison between Spectrum Brands management and the Board on ESG issues, including climate and environmental issues. In addition, our General Counsel oversees the EH&S Team, as well as a cross-functional Sustainability Team, to further our ESG strategy. The Sustainability Team's mandate includes establishing benchmarks, setting goals on ESG topics and creating implementation and monitoring plans. The team is supported by leaders in the areas of supply chain, product development, product regulatory, facilities, operations, EH&S and corporate legal affairs. The Sustainability Team meets regularly to advance its work, identify opportunities and assess risk associated with ESG issues. The Sustainability Team communicates priorities and plans through our General Counsel, who provides updates on ESG matters at meetings of the Board.

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

The proper and thoughtful operations, logistics, facilities, and supply chain management of environmental issues, including considerations related to water and climate change, is inherently a part of Spectrum Brands' short-term and long-term performance and thereby its compensation outcome. Poor operational and supply chain management of water and climate change-related issues would likely negatively impact our annual performance and, in turn, reduce incentive compensation.
[Fixed row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☑ Direct operations

☑ Upstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Spectrum Brands maintains both an Environmental, Biodiversity, and Deforestation Policy and an Energy and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Policy, which encompass all global operations, subsidiaries, and affiliates. These policies ensure adherence to applicable EH&S regulations, resource allocation for EH&S initiatives, and the selection of responsible suppliers and focus efforts on reducing GHG emissions.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

☑ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards

☑ Other environmental commitment, please specify :Minimize impact on the environment by assessing ways to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, reduce hazardous and non-hazardous waste, increase recycling and reduce water use. We continue to evaluate renewable energy sources for our global operations.

Additional references/Descriptions

☑ Description of renewable electricity procurement practices

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

☑ No, but we plan to align in the next two years

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

☑ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

Spectrum Brands - Environmental, Biodiversity & Deforestation Policy.pdf,Spectrum_Brands_Energy_and_GHG_Policy.pdf
[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

	Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

No

☑ No, we have assessed our activities, and none could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☑ No, but we plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☑ It is not possible or relevant for my organization or the intermediary organization to be registered

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Spectrum Brands' engagement activities are consistent with our own internal goals. We have assessed our activities, and none could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment. Before information is communicated externally, the respective teams (communication and public relations, legal, and others) are engaged to ensure alignment and accuracy with internal commitments, goals, and strategy.

(4.11.9) Primary reason for not engaging in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(4.11.10) Explain why your organization does not engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Spectrum Brands does not engage in these activities due to our focus being on manufacturing the best products that fit our customers' needs. We continually monitor policies, laws, and regulations related to climate change and evaluate them for their impact on our products, activities, and business operations.
[Fixed row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:
☑ In voluntary sustainability reports

(4.12.1.2) Is your publication in line with a standard or framework?

Select from:
☑ Yes

(4.12.1.3) Standard or framework the publication is in line with

Select all that apply
☑ GRI
☑ Other, please specify :SASB

(4.12.1.4) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(4.12.1.5) Status of the publication

Select from:

☑ Complete

(4.12.1.6) Content elements

Select all that apply

☑ Governance

☑ Risks & Opportunities

☑ Value chain engagement

☑ Dependencies & Impacts

☑ Public policy engagement

☑ Climate-related targets

☑ Greenhouse gas emissions figures

☑ Other, please specify **:Hazardous and non-hazardous waste; Water accounting figures**

(4.12.1.7) Page/section reference

Governance: pp. 61-62, 68 Public policy engagement: p. 64 Dependencies & impacts: pp. 30, 39-40 Risks & opportunities: pp. 8, 10, 14, 22-24, 30, 36-37, 64 Strategy: pp. 4, 6, 8, 10, 22-24, 59, 60, Value chain engagement: pp. 22, 27, 38-39 Emissions figures: pp. 28-29 Emissions targets: p. 24 Water accounting figures: pp. 30-31 Hazardous & non-hazardous waste: pp. 32-34, 36

(4.12.1.8) Attach the relevant publication

Spectrum Brands - 2026 Corporate Sustainability Report.pdf

(4.12.1.9) Comment

Reference the attached report for more details on Spectrum Brands' 2026 Corporate Sustainability Report

Row 2

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☑ In mainstream reports

(4.12.1.2) Is your publication in line with a standard or framework?

Select from:
☑ No

(4.12.1.4) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply
☑ Climate change

(4.12.1.5) Status of the publication

Select from:
☑ Complete

(4.12.1.6) Content elements

Select all that apply
☑ Risks & Opportunities

(4.12.1.7) Page/section reference

Risk Factors pp.12-17, 22-3, 25-27

(4.12.1.8) Attach the relevant publication

Spectrum 2025 10-K.pdf

(4.12.1.9) Comment

Risk Factors discussed in our 10-K cover various physical and transition risks related to climate change.
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☑ First time carrying out analysis

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization’s scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☑ IEA NZE 2050

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Technology

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :Net Zero Emissions ("NZE") Scenario, economic drivers enabling increased investment and mobilization of capital towards renewable energy, stringent climate policy, and increased demand of climate positive or sustainable products and materials.

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Under NZE scenario, economic activity in reference to private and public capital is being mobilized towards renewable investment. The regulatory policy landscape is quite stringent all over the world. Microeconomic factors that play into pricing models may not be clear and specific micro-economies may have different outcomes and reviews. Under Announced Pledges Scenario ("APS"), if national pledges are kept as hypothetically implemented, the regulatory landscape will be stringent, but there will be many nuanced economic and geopolitical factors to keep 1.7 degrees Celsius in consideration. As for market flow, high demand and inconsistent elasticity for renewable content cause challenges in projecting price. Under Stated Policies Scenario ("STEPS"), all stated and implemented goals focus predominantly on developed nations and large economies. Therefore, the longer-term projections for this scenario are more difficult to hypothesize solely based on the inability to understand the smaller macroeconomic and microeconomic forces that provide the final push to keep below 2.5 degrees Celsius.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We used the NZE, APS, and STEPS frameworks to capture a range of plausible futures based on varying levels of climate ambition and policy implementation. This approach allows us to assess potential risks and opportunities under aggressive decarbonization (NZE), current national commitments (APS), and existing policy trajectories (STEPS). By analyzing all three, we gain a more comprehensive understanding of how our business could be impacted across different regulatory and market environments.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☑ IEA APS

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Technology

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 1.6ºC - 1.9ºC

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :APS Scenario assumes all countries fully implement announced climate targets, resulting in a lower projected temperature increase compared to STEPS.

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Under APS, if national pledges are kept as hypothetically implemented, the regulatory landscape will be stringent, but there will be many nuanced economic and geopolitical factors to keep 1.7 degrees Celsius in consideration. As for market flow, high demand and inconsistent elasticity for renewable content cause challenges in projecting price.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We used the NZE, APS, and STEPS frameworks to capture a range of plausible futures based on varying levels of climate ambition and policy implementation. This approach allows us to assess potential risks and opportunities under aggressive decarbonization (NZE), current national commitments (APS), and existing policy trajectories (STEPS). By analyzing all three, we gain a more comprehensive understanding of how our business could be impacted across different regulatory and market environments.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☑ IEA STEPS (previously IEA NPS)

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☑ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☑ Policy

☑ Technology

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☑ 2.0°C - 2.4°C

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2024

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☑ 2030

☑ 2040

☑ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Macro and microeconomy

☑ Other macro and microeconomy driving forces, please specify :STEPS scenario only considers currently implemented policies, leading to a higher projected temperature increase as it does not factor in additional climate actions that might be announced but not yet enacted.

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

Under STEPS, all stated and implemented goals focus predominantly on developed nations and large economies. Therefore, the longer-term projections for this scenario are harder to hypothesize solely based on the inability to understand the smaller macroeconomic and microeconomic forces that provide for the final push to keep below 2.5 degrees.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We used the NZE, APS, and STEPS frameworks to capture a range of plausible futures based on varying levels of climate ambition and policy implementation. This approach allows us to assess potential risks and opportunities under aggressive decarbonization (NZE), current national commitments (APS), and existing policy trajectories (STEPS). By analyzing all three, we gain a more comprehensive understanding of how our business could be impacted across different regulatory and market environments.

[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

☑ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

☑ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the influence of the scenario analysis on the selected business processes

The scenario analysis allowed us to more clearly understand at which points Spectrum Brands may be exposed to the risks and opportunities of climate change, both directly and indirectly, and the possible impacts on its business strategy and financial planning. At a strategic level, Spectrum Brands faces both transition risks and physical risks, including climate-related disruptions, across its direct operations and supply chain. Given its reliance on manufacturing, raw materials, and consumer preferences, climate change presents challenges to sustaining the current business growth trajectory. However, the analysis outlines three scenarios that offer opportunities for Spectrum Brands to reduce both costs and their environmental footprint.
[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☑ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.20) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :We anticipate developing a climate transition plan per CSRD requirements

(5.2.21) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Spectrum Brands anticipates developing a climate transition plan per CSRD requirements and continues to evaluate targets aligned with a 1.5°C world. Our General Counsel provides the Board with quarterly updates regarding our company's legal, regulatory and ESG issues. Topics covered in these updates may include our

sustainability strategy, setting and managing our climate-related targets and greenhouse gas inventory. In addition, Spectrum Brands has a risk management process that identifies and prioritizes risks to the Company that could have a strategic impact, including climate-related risks. As we continue to evaluate our climate related risks and opportunity analysis, we will continue to evaluate how Spectrum Brands will adapt to meet industry expectations regarding climate initiatives and carbon emissions.
[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:
☑ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply
☑ Products and services
☑ Investment in R&D
☑ Operations

(5.3.3) Primary reason why environmental risks and/or opportunities have not affected your strategy and/or financial planning across all business areas

Select from:
☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.3.4) Explain why environmental risks and/or opportunities have not affected your strategy and/or financial planning across all business areas

Environmental risks and opportunities related to the upstream and downstream value chain are not currently an immediate strategic priority, as the Company's efforts are primarily focused on areas within its direct operational control. Management has greater visibility into these activities and is better positioned to implement, monitor, and drive effective mitigation and improvement measures.
[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Transition risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: Potential decreased revenues due to reduced demand for products and services has influenced our approach to designing our products and making considerations within our sourcing, procurement, packaging engineering, and product development teams. These teams are encouraged to move beyond standard measures of providing products to improve the product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. ii) Strategy time horizon(s): At the division level, products are typically reviewed quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design, materials of construction, and packaging from a sustainability perspective. Our new product development process now has "Sustainability" included in the evaluation process. iii) Most Substantial Strategic Decisions to Date: We have adopted 100% EPS/PVC elimination for the UK and EU. In addition, we have begun utilizing post-consumer resins ("PCR") in select bottles and packaging depending on regulatory requirements, structural and quality expectations. Our packaging engineering team has made advancements in packaging material source reduction, reducing the amount of packaging material without compromising the quality and performance of our trusted products. Our Tetra brand has made substantial improvements in recyclability with the relaunch of our NutriEvolution, using 100% post-industrial recycled material (PIR) in the packaging and saving up to 520 tons of plastic annually. Our Nature's Miracle and Rejuvenate brands have incorporated 25% PCR in their bottles, removing approximately 360,000 pounds of virgin resin annually. In addition to packaging improvements, we have also reviewed our shipping methods to develop more sustainable processes, reducing the amount of corrugate needed to ship our products.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Transition risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

i) A description of how your strategy in this area has been influenced by climate-related risks and opportunities: Shifts in consumer preferences have affected Spectrum Brands' investment in R&D in how we design, source, procure, and develop new products. We are encouraged to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. ii) Strategy time horizon(s): At the division level, products are typically reviewed at least quarterly for opportunities to move beyond compliance to improve product design and packaging from a sustainability perspective. iii) Most substantial strategic decisions to date: Our Russell Hobbs brand has made substantial innovations in reducing the amount of energy used by its Satisfry Air Fryers. Compared to a conventional electric oven, five of our Russell Hobbs Air Fryers save approximately 40% - 50% of the amount of electricity to cook the same amount of food. Each has received the Green Circle Certification for Energy Savings and have obtained the Amazon Climate Friendly Badge. These products help reduce consumer's energy usage and contribute to lower emissions.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Physical risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

We implement environmental, health, and safety measures to ensure the safety of our employees. These measures include access to air-conditioned recovery areas and hydration areas, work-rest protocols, monitoring workplace conditions during periods of extreme heat, and issuing heat alert warnings to our employees on days with predicted high heat index levels. We continually assess facility specific risks and adapt operation practices as needed to help safeguard employee health, maintain safe working conditions, and support business continuity.

[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☑ Direct costs

☑ Indirect costs

☑ Capital expenditures

☑ Capital allocation

☑ Acquisitions and divestments

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☑ Transition risks

☑ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Spectrum Brands has invested in energy and water usage reduction projects at our facilities to reduce our environmental impact and lower emissions. We anticipate these projects will lessen our environmental risks, which is reflected in our annual financial planning. Additionally, we have invested in recyclable packaging and the use of post-consumer recycled content, which lessen environmental risks related to pollution.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: ☑ No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

Carbon

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing for this environmental externality

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

(5.10.2) Primary reason for not pricing this environmental externality

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.10.3) Explain why your organization does not price this environmental externality

Spectrum Brands does not price environmental externalities due to the absence of mechanisms to internalize costs and the multitude of factors that can affect pricing calculations.

Other

(5.10.1) Use of internal pricing for this environmental externality

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

Suppliers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

Customers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☑ Climate change

Other value chain stakeholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

All relevant stakeholders are covered in categories above.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

	Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment
Climate change	*Select from:* ☑ No, we do not currently assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers, but we plan to do so within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☑ Procurement spend

☑ Product lifecycle

☑ Product safety and compliance

☑ Regulatory compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

Spectrum Brands evaluates suppliers on several criteria to ensure they meet our standards. Suppliers with higher spend and larger contracts are prioritized for engagement on climate related dependencies and/or impacts compared to smaller suppliers. In addition, the decision to engage with suppliers is based on several factors beyond environmental issues.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization’s purchasing process?

Climate change

(5.11.5.1) Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process

Select from:

☑ Yes, suppliers have to meet environmental requirements related to this environmental issue, but they are not included in our supplier contracts

(5.11.5.2) Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance

Select from:

☑ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance

(5.11.5.3) Comment

Although not explicitly included in the contract, all suppliers must agree to comply, and must comply, with all applicable environmental laws and regulations, as set forth in our Supplier Code of Conduct.
[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization’s purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Disclosure of GHG emissions to your organization (Scope 1 and 2) are not required from our suppliers but are strongly encouraged.

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics

☑ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We do not currently collect direct scope 3 emissions from our suppliers, as a spend-based approach is used for these calculations. We anticipate collecting direct scope 3 emissions data from our suppliers in the next two years.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Implementation of emissions reduction initiatives is not required but is strongly encouraged.

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☑ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics

☑ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We do not currently collect direct scope 3 emissions from our suppliers, as a spend-based approach is used for these calculations. We anticipate collecting direct scope 3 emissions data from our suppliers in the next two years.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Meeting regulatory compliance requirements

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☑ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ 100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:
☑ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:
☑ Unknown

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply
☑ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
☑ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

We regularly engage with our suppliers and request hazardous substance declarations to support regulatory compliance. This process helps us monitor compliance and address any potential issues before they result in a non-compliance.
[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization’s supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:
☑ Upstream value chain transparency and human rights

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection
☑ Other information collection activity, please specify :Social compliance audits

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

We regularly engage with our suppliers on social compliance and human rights matters as part of our commitment to responsible sourcing. Where appropriate, suppliers are required to undergo independent third-party social compliance audits to assess conformance with applicable labor, health and safety, ethical, and human rights standards. If audit findings are identified, we require suppliers to promptly implement corrective actions and remediate any deficiencies within established timeframes. We actively monitor progress and work collaboratively with suppliers to ensure identified issues are effectively addressed and sustained compliance is achieved.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ No

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Substitution of hazardous substances with less harmful substances

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Information collection

☑ Collect environmental risk and opportunity information at least annually from suppliers

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

☑ Tier 1 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☑ Unknown

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

Our engagement with suppliers on hazardous substances is critical to ensuring our products meet applicable regulatory and sustainability requirements. We regularly collaborate with suppliers on these matters, supporting efforts to obtain relevant declarations and proactively evaluate alternative substances when regulatory restrictions may emerge.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☑ No, this engagement is unrelated to meeting an environmental requirement

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☑ Yes

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ 1-25%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Engaging with our customers is essential to understanding their evolving priorities and expectations for our products and packaging. We maintain regular dialogue with customers to better understand their requirements, sustainability objectives, and consumer expectations, helping ensure our products and packaging continue to meet their needs. For example, for private label products, we work closely with a key customer to align with their recyclable packaging guidelines and objectives. This collaboration supports our shared goal of improving packaging recyclability.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engaging with customers helps us better understand evolving expectations related to product performance, sustainability, safety, and regulatory compliance, enabling us to align our product development and business practices with customer needs. Success is measured through ongoing customer feedback, strengthened relationships, responsiveness to customer requests and requirements, and our ability to deliver products and solutions that meet customer expectations.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☑ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☑ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☑ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☑ None

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

We engage with investors and shareholders to understand their expectations regarding environmental performance, sustainability risks, and long-term value creation. Regular dialogue helps us communicate our sustainability strategy and progress, while providing insight into evolving stakeholder priorities that inform our environmental initiatives and disclosures.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

Engaging with investors and shareholders provides valuable insight into expectations regarding sustainability and long-term value creation. Success is measured through transparent communication, constructive stakeholder dialogue, continued investor confidence, and our ability to demonstrate progress against strategic business and sustainability objectives.
[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

(5.13.1) Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement

Select from:
☑ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.13.2) Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives

Select from:
☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(5.13.3) Explain why your organization has not implemented any environmental initiatives

This is not an immediate strategic priority for Spectrum Brands. Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate opportunities associated with implementing environmental initiatives identified through CDP supply chain member engagement and will evaluate on a year over year basis.
[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental performance - Consolidation approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

The Greenhouse Gas (GHG) protocol is utilized to calculate scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions. The standardized framework ensures accurate and consistent reporting of our emissions data.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☑ Other, please specify :Not calculated

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

Spectrum Brands does not calculate biodiversity-related performance data.
[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance

☑ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

	Scope 2, location-based	Scope 2, market-based	Comment
	Select from: ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure	*Select from:* ☑ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure	*Spectrum Brands is reporting both a location-based and market-based Scope 2 figure.*

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are excluded from your disclosure of climate-related data?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5867

(7.5.3) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2)

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

38770

(7.5.3) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2)

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

42187

(7.5.3) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2)

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

882094

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5362

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9532

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 & 2 energy consumption-related emissions are multiplied by emission factors for fuel production and transmission & distribution losses. Reported as market-based value.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

148971

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4793.12

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Waste stream data is collected at the Company's facilities and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions data is provided by waste management providers.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6701

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Mileage data is collected from sales employees and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption data is provided by vehicle owners.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4876

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Mileage data is calculated based on location data, work from home energy use is estimated, and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption or emissions data is provided by employees

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: Spectrum Brands does not operate any leased facilities within the company's boundaries, not accounted for in Scopes 1 & 2.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not calculated: Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: Spectrum Brands products are finished goods and do not undergo further processing after point of sale.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not calculated: Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not calculated: Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: Spectrum Brands does not own any assets leased to other entities.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: Spectrum Brands does not operate any franchises.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: This category is not applicable for Spectrum Brands.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: This category is not applicable for Spectrum Brands.

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

09/30/2024

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not relevant: This category is not applicable for Spectrum Brands.
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8424

(7.6.3) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2)
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization’s gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

34467

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

36362

(7.7.4) Methodological details

World Resources Institute (WRI)/World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD) Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Protocol Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Scope 1 and 2)
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization’s gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

842313

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply
☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4403

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply
☑ Average spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:
☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

9390

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply
☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Scope 1 & 2 energy consumption-related emissions are multiplied by emission factors for fuel production and transmission & distribution losses. Reported as market-based value.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

137005

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Emissions were calculated based on spend data per spend category and the use of Environmentally-Extended Input-Output (EEIO) emission factors.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

1135

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Waste stream data is collected at the Company's facilities and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions data is provided by waste management providers.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

4976

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Mileage data is collected from sales employees and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption data is provided by vehicle owners.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

5196

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☑ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Mileage data is calculated based on location data, work from home energy use is estimated, and industry standard emission factors are applied. No emissions or fuel consumption or emissions data is provided by employees.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Spectrum Brands does not operate any leased facilities within the company’s boundaries, not accounted for in Scopes 1 & 2.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.6) Please explain

Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Spectrum Brands products are finished goods and do not undergo further processing after point of sale.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.6) Please explain

Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.6) Please explain

Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate its emission sources and will quantify and include in future disclosures.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Spectrum Brands does not own any assets leased to other entities.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Spectrum Brands does not operate any franchises.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not applicable for Spectrum Brands.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not applicable for Spectrum Brands.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☑ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☑ Not applicable to our organization’s activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not applicable for Spectrum Brands.
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	*Select from:* ☑ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY25 GHG Verification Statement 2026-0916.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

pages 1-3

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select all that apply
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:
☑ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY25 GHG Verification Statement 2026-0916.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

pages 1-3

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:
☑ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY25 GHG Verification Statement 2026-0916.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

pages 1-3

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY25 GHG Verification Statement 2026-0916.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

pages 1-3

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

100

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

100

Row 2

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3: Capital goods

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY25 GHG Verification Statement 2026-0916.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

pages 1-3

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

100

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

100

Row 3

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY25 GHG Verification Statement 2026-0916.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

pages 1-3

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

100

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

100

Row 4

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY25 GHG Verification Statement 2026-0916.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

pages 1-3

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

100

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

100

Row 5

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 3: Waste generated in operations

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY25 GHG Verification Statement 2026-0916.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

pages 1-3

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

100

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

100

Row 6

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3: Business travel

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY25 GHG Verification Statement 2026-0916.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

pages 1-3

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

100

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

100

Row 7

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 3: Employee commuting

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☑ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☑ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☑ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

Spectrum Brands FY25 GHG Verification Statement 2026-0916.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

pages 1-3

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply
☑ ISO14064-3

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

100

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

100
[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:
☑ Increased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1350

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

3

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Increase in natural gas consumption due to increased production

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

603

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☑ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Newly identified propane consumption at existing site
[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.12) Does your organization have significant land sector activities in its operations or value chain?

	Agriculture, forestry or other land sector activities	Specify the reason for no significant activities
	Select from: ☑ No, explanation provided	*Select from:* ☑ No relevant activities identified

[Fixed row]

(7.13) Does your organization choose to account for and report technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage, or have you done so in previous years?

	Technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage in the reporting year	Specify the reason for no technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geological storage in the reporting year	Technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage were accounted for and reported in previous years
	Select from: ☑ No, explanation provided	*Select from:* ☑ No relevant activities identified	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 and/or Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

(7.15.1) Emissions breakdown by greenhouse gas type

Select from:

☑ Yes, both Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions

[Fixed row]

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

6080

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

6080

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

33008

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

33008

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

4644

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

4644

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ CH4

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0.14

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

4

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

2.82

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

79

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0.19

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

5

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ N2O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0.02

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

4

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0.46

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

121

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0.06

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

15

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 4

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

395.84

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

515

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:
☑ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 5

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:
☑ Other, please specify :Gasses that cannot be broken down (CO2e)

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

2335

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

2335

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

1259

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

1259

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

31698

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

31698

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☑ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Argentina

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.656

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.045

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.045

Australia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7.925

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

547.008

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

464.691

Austria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.136

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.771

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.771

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.129

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.115

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.976

Bulgaria

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.172

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.303

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.819

Canada

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

31.635

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

60.555

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

60.555

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

44.584

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1644.894

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1644.894

Colombia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

90.917

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

698.882

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

698.882

Costa Rica

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.005

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.604

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.604

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.473

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.627

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11.486

Denmark

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.31

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.872

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.448

Dominican Republic

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.308

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.368

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

7.368

El Salvador

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.006

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.046

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.046

Finland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.352

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.559

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

6.005

France

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.456

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.803

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.412

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1876.597

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1949.312

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

686.515

Guatemala

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1.78

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

24.586

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

24.586

Honduras

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.377

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.425

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.425

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.748

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4.829

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10.68

Ireland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

126.116

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1063.922

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1667.004

Italy

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2.104

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

17.764

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

34.458

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16.81

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

277.246

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

277.246

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

99.717

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1523.033

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1523.033

Netherlands

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

63.587

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

464.765

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

874.603

New Zealand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

26.667

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

86.218

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

134.876

Panama

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.41

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.407

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

5.407

Poland

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

28.414

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

530.018

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

832.965

Portugal

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.412

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1.904

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

8.785

Puerto Rico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

62.705

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

315.147

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

315.147

Singapore

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.82

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.922

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

12.922

Slovenia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.138

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.928

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.46

Spain

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

63.346

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

246.822

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

670.409

Sweden

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.612

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

0.3

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

2.281

United Kingdom

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

285.834

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

564.844

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

1345.252

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5587.631

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

24391.066

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

25015.367
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply
☑ By business division

☑ By activity

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	*Corporate*	*141.34*
Row 2	*Home & Garden*	*2446.87*
Row 3	*Global Pet Care*	*3981.29*
Row 4	*Home & Personal Care*	*1791.05*

[Add row]

(7.17.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Refrigerant gasses (R-134a)*	*515*
Row 2	*Natural gas*	*7165*
Row 3	*Propane*	*738*
Row 4	*Liquid fuel (diesel and gasoline)*	*7*

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply
☑ By business division
☑ By activity

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Corporate*	*3707.73*	*3757.02*
Row 2	*Home & Garden*	*8889.06*	*9163.47*
Row 3	*Global Pet Care*	*13737.27*	*13557.92*
Row 4	*Home & Personal Care*	*8132.85*	*9884.02*

[Add row]

(7.20.3) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business activity.

	Activity	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	*Electricity (Purchased)*	*34467*	*36362*

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8424

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

34467

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

36362

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions are associated with the consolidated accounting group.

All other entities

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

All emissions are associated with the consolidated accounting group.
[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26) Allocate your emissions to your customers listed below according to the goods or services you have sold them in this reporting period.

Row 1

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Walmart, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

490394420.9

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1471

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 2

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ Walmart, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

490394420.9

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

6017

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 3

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Walmart, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

490394420.9

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

6348

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 4

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Walmart, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 2: Capital goods

☑ Category 6: Business travel

☑ Category 7: Employee commuting

☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

490394420.9

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

175351

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 5

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Target Corporation

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

40496269.17

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

121

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 6

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ Target Corporation

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

40496269.17

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

497

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 7

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Target Corporation

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

40496269.17

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

524

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 8

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Target Corporation

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 2: Capital goods

☑ Category 6: Business travel

☑ Category 7: Employee commuting

☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

40496269.17

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

14479

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 9

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Lowe's Companies, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

110802379.13

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

332

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 10

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ Lowe's Companies, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

110802379.13

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1360

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 11

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Lowe's Companies, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

110802379.13

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

1434

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 12

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ Lowe's Companies, Inc.

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 2: Capital goods
☑ Category 6: Business travel
☑ Category 7: Employee commuting
☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services
☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations
☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution
☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

110802379.13

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

39620

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 13

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ J Sainsbury Plc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 1

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

56865390.55

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

171

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 14

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:
☑ J Sainsbury Plc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:
☑ Scope 2: location-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:
☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:
☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:
☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

56865390.55

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

698

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 15

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ J Sainsbury Plc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 2: market-based

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

56865390.55

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

736

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a

Row 16

(7.26.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☑ J Sainsbury Plc

(7.26.2) Scope of emissions

Select from:

☑ Scope 3

(7.26.3) Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☑ Category 2: Capital goods

☑ Category 6: Business travel

☑ Category 7: Employee commuting

☑ Category 1: Purchased goods and services

☑ Category 5: Waste generated in operations

☑ Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

☑ Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

(7.26.4) Allocation level

Select from:

☑ Company wide

(7.26.6) Allocation method

Select from:

☑ Allocation based on the market value of products purchased

(7.26.7) Unit for market value or quantity of goods/services supplied

Select from:

☑ Currency

(7.26.8) Market value or quantity of goods/services supplied to the requesting member

56865390.55

(7.26.9) Emissions in metric tonnes of CO2e

20333

(7.26.10) Uncertainty (±%)

5

(7.26.11) Major sources of emissions

n/a

(7.26.12) Allocation verified by a third party?

Select from:

☑ No

(7.26.13) Please explain how you have identified the GHG source, including major limitations to this process and assumptions made

n/a

(7.26.14) Where published information has been used, please provide a reference

n/a
[Add row]

(7.27) What are the challenges in allocating emissions to different customers, and what would help you to overcome these challenges?

Row 1

(7.27.1) Allocation challenges

Select from:
☑ We face no challenges

(7.27.2) Please explain what would help you overcome these challenges

Our preferred approach is to use revenue to allocate emissions to customers. If there is a more accurate way to allocate emissions, Spectrum Brands would be willing to adopt a more precise or standardized methodology for emissions allocation.
[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

	Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?	Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities
	Select from: ☑ Yes	*Spectrum Brands will continue to evaluate methodologies for allocating emissions to customers and adjust appropriately in the future.*

[Fixed row]

(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?

Select from:
☑ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	*Select from:* ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization’s energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:
☑ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

41960.38

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

41960.38

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

5400

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

83282.37

(7.30.1.4) MWh from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

88682.37

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

409.07

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

409.07

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

5809.07

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

125242.75

(7.30.1.4) MWh from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

131051.82
[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	*Select from:* ☑ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	*Select from:* ☑ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	*Select from:* ☑ No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

n/a

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

n/a

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

n/a

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

n/a

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

29.28

(7.30.7.7) Comment

diesel, gasoline/petrol

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

41931.1

(7.30.7.7) Comment

natural gas, propane

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

n/a

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

41960.38

(7.30.7.7) Comment

see above
[Fixed row]

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

409

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

409

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

409

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

409

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0
[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Argentina

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

27.26

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

27.26

Australia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

701.29

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

701.29

Austria

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5.07

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5.07

Belgium

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5.25

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5.25

Bulgaria

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

7.09

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

7.09

Canada

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1145.32

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1145.32

China

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2777.94

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2777.94

Colombia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3301.65

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3301.65

Costa Rica

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

41.77

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

41.77

Czechia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

19.49

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

19.49

Denmark

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12.79

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12.79

Dominican Republic

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

12.79

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

12.79

El Salvador

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0.27

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.27

Finland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

14.51

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

14.51

France

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

17.05

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17.05

Germany

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

6342.02

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

409.07

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

6751.09

Guatemala

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

73.19

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

73.19

Honduras

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

15.67

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

15.67

Hungary

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

30.8

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

30.80

Ireland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

4519.98

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

4519.98

Italy

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

77.54

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

77.54

Japan

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

615.75

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

615.75

Mexico

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3617.81

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3617.81

Netherlands

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2112.57

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2112.57

New Zealand

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

958.01

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

958.01

Panama

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

17.05

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17.05

Poland

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

1021.35

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1021.35

Portugal

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

17.05

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

17.05

Puerto Rico

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2265.77

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2265.77

Singapore

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

34.1

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

34.10

Slovenia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5.72

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5.72

Spain

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

2285.39

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

2285.39

Sweden

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

25.21

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

25.21

United Kingdom

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

3191.03

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

3191.03

United States of America

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

53366.83

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

53366.83
[Fixed row]

(7.30.15) Provide details on the electricity purchases that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:
☑ Germany

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:
☑ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:
☑ Hydropower (capacity unknown)

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

5400

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:
☑ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:
☑ Germany

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.30.15.14) Comment

RECs acquired from SachsenEnergie AG, certified by TÜV SÜD
[Add row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0000159

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

44786

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☑ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

2809000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☑ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

1.7

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☑ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☑ Other emissions reduction activities

(7.45.9) Please explain

Scope 1 and 2 emissions decreased at a greater rate than revenue compared to the prior year, resulting in a reduction in emissions intensity. The decrease was primarily driven by lower emissions through reduction and efficiency activities rather than changes in revenue.
[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:
☑ Waste

(7.52.2) Metric value

724.6

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

mT

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

year

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

64.05

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:
☑ Decreased

(7.52.7) Please explain

Metric includes hazardous waste + non-hazardous waste - recycled, reused, and recovered waste. The majority of the decrease is attributed to an increase in waste that was recycled, reused, and recovered.
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply
☑ Intensity target

(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:
☑ Int 1

(7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:
☑ No, and we do not anticipate setting one in the next two years

(7.53.2.5) Date target was set

10/01/2023

(7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:
☑ Organization-wide

(7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☑ Carbon dioxide (CO_2)

☑ Methane (CH_4)

☑ Nitrous oxide (N_2O)

(7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 1

☑ Scope 2

(7.53.2.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☑ Location-based

(7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

☑ Metric tons CO_2e per unit revenue

(7.53.2.12) End date of base year

09/30/2024

(7.53.2.13) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 1

0.000001979

(7.53.2.14) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 2

0.000014234

(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes

0.0000162130

(7.53.2.34) % of total base year emissions in Scope 1 covered by this Scope 1 intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.35) % of total base year emissions in Scope 2 covered by this Scope 2 intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure

100

(7.53.2.55) End date of target

09/30/2025

(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

3

(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes

0.0000157266

(7.53.2.58) % change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions

-8

(7.53.2.60) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 1

0.00000282

(7.53.2.61) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 2

0.00001294

(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes

0.0000157600

(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☑ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year

93.14

(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☑ Achieved and maintained

(7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Our scope 1 & 2 target covers all owned and leased facilities within Spectrum Brands' operations. It does not include Scope 1 emissions from HFCs (6% of 2025 Scope 1 emissions; 1% of 2025 Scope 1 + 2 emissions).

(7.53.2.86) Target objective

The objective of the Scope 1 and Scope 2 (market-based) GHG emissions reduction target is to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the company's direct operations and purchased energy consumption, supporting progress toward decarbonization and the transition to a lower-carbon business model. Please note that we also have an absolute target that is tracked but not disclosed here.

(7.53.2.88) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☑ No

(7.53.2.89) List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

(1) The installation of LED lighting at one of our key manufacturing facilities. (2) The generation of renewable electricity through a rooftop solar project at our largest manufacturing facility in Europe.
[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply
☑ No other climate-related targets

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

	Emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year
	Select from: ☑ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO_2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	*0*	
To be implemented	*3*	*136*
Implementation commenced	*0*	*0*
Implemented	*2*	*194.73*
Not to be implemented	*0*	

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☑ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO_2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

194.8

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

42815

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

188230

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ 16-20 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

LED Installation Project

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Low-carbon energy consumption
☑ Solar PV

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO_2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

143.05

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply
☑ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:
☑ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

146000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

721000

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:
☑ 4-10 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:
☑ 21-30 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Rooftop Solar Installation
[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Spectrum Brands constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Financial optimization calculations

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Spectrum Brands constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☑ Compliance with regulatory requirements/standards

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Spectrum Brands constantly investigates and reviews opportunities to reduce the environmental impacts and carbon footprint associated with the company's day-to-day operations and products. When opportunities to reduce emissions and improve energy efficiency emerge, the company evaluates both the economic and environmental impacts of such projects, with the end goal of achieving year-on-year improvements.
[Add row]

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:
☑ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:
☑ No

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:
☑ No

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

(13.1.1) Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party

Select from:

☑ No, and we do not plan to obtain third-party verification/assurance of other environmental information in our CDP response within the next two years

(13.1.2) Primary reason why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party

Select from:

☑ Not an immediate strategic priority

(13.1.3) Explain why other environmental information included in your CDP response is not verified and/or assured by a third party

We receive assurance on Scopes 1, 2, and 3 GHG emissions. The other metrics in module 7 are either inputs to these assured figures or calculations on the basis of these assured figures.
[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☑ General Counsel

[Fixed row]